

# Lack of Trust in Maternal Support is Associated with Negative Interpretations of Ambiguous Maternal Behavior

Simon De Winter<sup>1</sup> · Eva Vandevivere<sup>3</sup> · Theodore E. A. Waters<sup>2</sup> · Caroline Braet<sup>3</sup> · Guy Bosmans<sup>1</sup>

© Springer Science+Business Media New York 2015

**Abstract** Attachment theory assumes that children who lack trust in maternal availability for support are more inclined to interpret maternal behavior in congruence with their expectation that mother will remain unavailable for support. To provide the first test of this assumption, early adolescents (9–13 years old) were asked to assess whether ambiguous interactions with mother should be interpreted in a positive or a negative way. In our sample ( $n = 322$ ), results showed that early adolescents' lack of trust in their mother's availability for support was related to more negative interpretations of maternal behavior. The associations remained significant after controlling for depressive mood. The importance of these findings for our understanding of attachment theory, attachment stability, and clinical practice are discussed.

**Keywords** Attachment · Early adolescence · Interpretation bias · Trust

## Introduction

Attachment research increasingly supports Bowlby's (1969) central assumption that the quality of early caregiving experiences leads to the development of expectations regarding

the attachment figure's availability as a source for support. These expectations, often operationalized as trust, have significant consequences for children's and adolescents' cognition and information processing (see Dykas and Cassidy 2011 for a review). Information processing occurs automatically, outside of strategic control, at three different stages that follow a logical order (Beck 1964). In the first stage, *Attentional processing*, the brain preferentially encodes expectation-relevant stimuli. Next, during *Memory processing*, the brain preferentially activates expectation-congruent recollections related to the encoded stimuli. Finally, these recollections guide *interpretation processing* of new experiences in congruence with established expectations (Beck 1964).

Interpretations are thought to serve a protective function in that they motivate us either to avoid previous negative experiences or to repeat previous positive experiences (Snyder and Stukas 1999). Consequently, interpretation processing is the final and crucial stage of information processing and essential to explain the link between expectations and behavior (Snyder and Stukas 1999). Given the close link between interpretation and behavior, it is no surprise that Bowlby (1969) considered the child's interpretations of maternal behavior a key component of the attachment system (Thompson and Raikes 2003). Each child has a repertoire of behavioral responses aimed at eliciting care and support from adults when distressed, such as crying or proximity seeking (Bowlby 1969). When support is available, the adult helps alleviate the child's distress (Cassidy 2008). This has an adaptive function in the long-term development of children. The extent to which the children and adolescents employ these attachment behaviors to elicit care and protection is dependent on their experiences with caregivers' support or lack thereof (Ainsworth et al. 1978; Cassidy 1994). Interpretation

---

✉ Simon De Winter  
simon.dewinter@ppw.kuleuven.be

<sup>1</sup> Parenting and Special Education Research Unit, KU Leuven, 3000 Louvain, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> Department of Psychology, New York University - Abu Dhabi, Abu Dhabi, United Arab Emirates

<sup>3</sup> Department of Developmental, Personality, and Social Psychology, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

processing of attachment information might not only play an important role in short term (moment to moment) attachment behavior, but also in the stability of attachment behavior across development. If adolescents interpret parental behavior in line with previous experience, even when the attachment figure's behavior is objectively ambiguous (Bowlby 1969; Dykas and Cassidy 2011), the adolescent may interpret parental behavior in an expectation consistent manner and reinforce the child's expectations regarding the attachment figure. However, in spite of the surge of research on attachment-related information processing, a recent literature review did not identify any studies focusing on whether (lack of) trust in primary attachment figures' availability as a source for support is characterized by expectation-congruent interpretations of ambiguous primary attachment figures' behavior (Dykas and Cassidy 2011).

In an attempt to unravel attachment-related information processing, recent research has increasingly focused on late childhood and early adolescence. This is an especially interesting age period for such research questions as the original primary caregiver(s) retain their primary role (e.g. Kerns et al. 2006) while attachment increasingly moves to a more representational level (Main et al. 1985). Furthermore, accumulating research has demonstrated the clinical relevance of late childhood attachment for (mal)adaptive development during adolescence (Kerns 2008). Altogether, this resulted in studies demonstrating the existence of the first two stages of expectation-congruent processing of attachment-related information. More specifically, for the first stage of information processing, research demonstrated an automatically enhanced attentional focus on mother in early adolescents who lack trust in maternal support and availability (Bosmans et al. 2009; Bosmans et al. 2013). In other words, early adolescents with less trust tend to more closely focus their attention on their mother, perhaps because they feel the need to check their mother's presence and have a reduced ability to explore the environment (Bosmans et al. 2009). For the second stage of information processing, results have demonstrated a memory bias in congruence with attachment expectations. Specifically, early adolescents who lack trust in caregiver support more easily recall negative memories about their caregiver (e.g. Alexander et al. 2010; Dujardin et al. 2014; Kirsh and Cassidy 1997; Lynch and Cicchetti 1998; Miller and Noiro 1999).

The impact of trust on the third and final stage of information processing, interpretation, has not yet been examined in early adolescence. There is some indirect evidence suggesting that attachment-related expectations are associated with early adolescents' interpretation of social interactions. More specifically, research on the interpretation of ambiguous peer behavior found that trust in parental availability enhanced early adolescents' ability to correctly identify peers'

positive and negative intentions. In contrast, lack of trust leads to more negative interpretations of peers' intentions (Cassidy 1988; Dykas and Cassidy 2011; Suess et al. 1992). However, the discussion is ongoing whether peer relationships can be considered equivalent to attachment relationships with primary caregivers (e.g. Kerns et al. 2006). Furthermore, research in late adolescence demonstrated that parent attachment is more important than peer attachment for adolescents' interpretations of ambiguous general social scenarios (Barrett and Holmes 2001). Therefore, the question remains whether an attachment expectation-congruent interpretation bias in early adolescence can be found in interactions with primary caregivers. As research has demonstrated that the mother is most likely to be the primary caregiver (Main et al. 1985), the current study aims to address this research question focusing on the mother-child relationship.

In the current study, we wanted to examine early adolescents' attachment-related interpretation bias about their mother. We therefore presented early adolescents with scenarios describing situations during which mother behaved in an ambiguous manner. Next, three different interpretations of mother's behavior were given, ranging from more secure to more insecure. They were asked to rank-order these alternatives in terms of probability that they would experience mother's behavior as described. We hypothesized that early adolescents with less trust would interpret mother's ambiguous behavior as less supportive or more rejecting. Because previous research demonstrated an effect of negative mood on interpretations of social interactions (Orobio de Castro et al. 2003), we examined whether the association between trust and the interpretation of maternal behavior remained significant after controlling for mood state.

## Method

### Participants

Our sample consisted of 322 general population early adolescents (135 boys, 187 girls) with ages ranging from 9 to 13 years ( $M = 11.70$ ;  $SD = .68$ ). The sample was collected from elementary school settings in Flanders, Belgium. The early adolescents were recruited after flyers were distributed to invite parents and their children to come to the laboratory. They were asked to fill in several questionnaires. The data collection happened in collaboration with third year bachelor students and second year master students.

### Procedure

The early adolescents were asked to come to the laboratory as part of a broader research study. All parents and their

children volunteered and gave their informed consent to participate after being fully informed about the goals and content of the study. They were asked to fill in several questionnaires in random order. The research procedure was approved by Ghent University's Ethical Committee.

## Measures

### *Development of the Measure*

Twelve scenarios were written, describing an ambiguous interaction with mother (for an example, see Appendix 1). Each interaction reflected a maternal behavioral response that could both be experienced as more or less supportive. In order to determine relevant interactions and scenarios for this age group, several pilot interviews were conducted during which early adolescents were asked to describe situations when their mother appeared to behave unresponsive or rejecting. Through these interviews, three types of mother–child interactions were created (each operationalized in four different situations): type 1: situations requiring support which mother fails to provide, type 2: situations during which mother reacts angrily, and type 3: situations where mother interrupts warm interactions.

For each of these 12 situations, three alternative interpretations were created, reflecting positive and negative explanations of the ambiguous maternal behavior (for an example, see Appendix 1). These alternatives were selected using a bottom-up approach on two aspects. Firstly, the alternatives were derived from the spontaneous interpretations of a sample of 50 early adolescents, who were presented with the same 12 hypothetical situations and were asked the open-ended question “Why do you think your mother would respond this way?”. Secondly, the valence of each alternative interpretation was determined by another sample of 50 early adolescents. These adolescents were asked to rate how distressed they would feel if the interpretation was true, using a seven point Likert-scale ranging from 1 (absolutely not distressed) to 7 (absolutely distressed; see Appendix 2). Using these scores, mean distress scores were calculated per alternative interpretation and each alternative was ranked as more or less insensitive at a group level. A pilot study demonstrated that early adolescents who more frequently chose the most insecure alternatives as most probable, had less trust in maternal support ( $r = -.37$ ,  $p < .01$ ) and displayed marginally less coherence during Child Attachment Interview (Target et al. 2003;  $r = -.32$ ,  $p < .09$ ).

### *Application of the Measure in the Current Study*

To calculate an Interpretation Bias score, we first dummy coded the first ranked interpretation for each situation. The early adolescents received a score of 1 for each situation in

which they perceived the most insensitive interpretation at group level as the most probable. The final Interpretation Bias score (ranging from 0 to 12) was calculated by summing the scores of all situations. A higher Interpretation Bias score reflects more insecure interpretations about maternal behavior, while a lower Interpretation Bias score reflects more secure interpretations.

### *Trust in Maternal Support*

Trust in maternal support was estimated with the Trust-subscale (Dutch version; Bosmans et al. 2009) of the People In My Life Questionnaire which is designed to measure 10 to 12-year-old early adolescents' representations of attachment figures (Ridenour et al. 2006). Given the goal of the current study, only the items of the Trust-scale focusing on the relationship with mother were used. Trust is conceptualized as the positive affective/cognitive experiences of trust in the accessibility and responsiveness of attachment figures (10 items, e.g. “I can count on my mother to help me when I have a problem”). They responded on a 4-point Likert-scale ranging from 1 (almost never true) to 4 (almost always true). The Trust scale was reliable in our sample ( $\alpha = .86$ ).

### *Depressive Mood*

The early adolescents completed a Dutch version of the CDI (Kovacs 1992; Timbremont and Braet 2002) to assess current depressive mood. The CDI is used for children and adolescents aged 7–17. It includes 27 items measuring cognitive, affective and behavioral symptoms of depressed mood in children and adolescents. Each item consists of three statements graded in order of increasing severity from 0 to 2 and they select the item that characterized them best during the past 2 weeks. The CDI was reliable in our sample ( $\alpha = .72$ ).

## Data Analyses

Correlational analyses were performed in order to examine the association between Trust, CDI, and Interpretation Bias scores. Furthermore, a partial-correlation between Trust and Interpretation Bias was calculated while controlling for CDI scores.

## Results

Table 1 presents the descriptive information of all measured variables. First, it must be noted that Interpretation Bias scores are rather low. This might have been the result of self-selection, with mostly securely attached and emotionally well-functioning early adolescents participating in our study. Next, we conducted a series of correlational analyses examining the

**Table 1** Descriptive statistics

	<i>M</i>	<i>SD</i>	Minimum	Maximum
IB	1.52	1.13	0	6
Trust	36.15	4.14	14	40
CDI	6.85	4.00	0	26

*IB* interpretation bias

associations between Trust, CDI, and Interpretation Bias scores. Our results show a positive correlation ( $r = .16$ ,  $p < .01$ ) between Interpretation Bias and CDI. In other words, a more negative Interpretation Bias was associated with increased Depressive Mood. Furthermore, a negative correlation ( $r = -.37$ ,  $p < .001$ ) was found between Trust and CDI, indicating that more Trust in maternal support was associated with less Depressive Mood. Finally, as predicted, Trust was negatively associated with Interpretation Bias scores ( $r = -.29$ ,  $p < .001$ ). Given the strong association between Trust and the CDI, we examined the association between Trust and Interpretation Bias, controlling for CDI scores. The results indicated that Trust scores were still a significant predictor of early adolescents' Interpretation Bias scores even after controlling for their Depressive Mood ( $r = -.24$ ,  $p < .001$ ).

## Discussion

The current study aimed to investigate whether early adolescents' interpretations of interactions with an attachment figure were influenced by their trust or lack of trust in the attachment figure's availability. For this purpose, early adolescents were asked to interpret ambiguous maternal behavior. In order to examine whether this interpretation bias is congruent with attachment expectations, the association with early adolescents' trust in maternal support was examined in a large sample. Because mood dependent response biases have been shown to influence interpretations, this association was controlled for depressive mood.

Our results demonstrate a negative association between trust and insecure interpretations of maternal behavior. In other words, early adolescents who trust less in maternal support also have more insecure interpretations about maternal behavior. This finding is in line with Bowlby's (1969) assumption that children's (lack of) trust in the attachment figure's availability as a source for support is characterized by an enhanced processing of information that is congruent with the expectation that the attachment figure will (not) be available. Moreover, these results are in line with previous research on peer attachment demonstrating that insecure attachment is associated with more negative interpretations of peer behavior (Cassidy 1988;

Dykas and Cassidy 2011; Suess et al. 1992). Importantly, the current study broadens these findings by demonstrating the presence of a similar process in mother–child attachment.

This study is a first small yet important step towards a better understanding of attachment-related interpretation bias of primary caregivers' behavior in early adolescence. However, several limitations are important to mention. First of all, trust in maternal support was assessed using a self-report measure. Attachment researchers have often argued that self-report instruments are less adequate to measure attachment because of the assumption that attachment-relevant thoughts and feelings operate outside of conscious awareness (Ainsworth 1985; Bowlby 1980). Therefore, future research should include more narrative measures of attachment, such as the Secure Base Script Task (Waters and Waters 2006) or the Child Attachment Interview (Target et al. 2003). In this regard, it is promising that early adolescents with more insecure interpretations were marginally less coherent during the Child Attachment Interview in the pilot study. Nevertheless, it must be noted that attachment researchers in recent years have argued that measures in early adolescence might not have to be evaluated in terms of which measure is superior to other measures, but rather in terms of which measure captures which component of the broader attachment construct (Bosmans and Kerns 2015; Steele 2015). For this reason one can argue that the current study at least shows that early adolescents' explicit appraisals of whether or not they can trust in their mother's availability is linked to the way they interpret her behavior. Secondly, further validation of this novel measure for attachment-related interpretation bias is required. Future research should examine the relationship between attachment-related interpretation bias and known correlates of attachment, such as parenting behavior and childhood psychopathology. In this regard, the positive correlation between depressive mood and attachment-related interpretation bias is a hopeful result. Lastly, this study had a cross-sectional design. This does not allow us to determine the causal relationship between trust in maternal support and attachment-related interpretation bias. Recent research suggests that information processing biases might causally influence expectations (MacLeod et al. 2009). Future research could attempt to manipulate the interpretation bias and measure subsequent changes in expectations of trust in order to investigate their causal relationship.

The presence of an attachment expectation-congruent interpretation bias might be an important factor in attachment stability. Attachment figure behavior is interpreted in line with previous experiences and associated expectations, regardless of the attachment figure's objective intentions. Therefore, early adolescents who don't trust their

attachment figure will interpret their ambiguous behavior as unsupportive, which is likely to feed back onto lack of trust and help maintain attachment insecurity. This finding might urge an important consideration during attachment-focused therapy. It has been suggested that information processing biases might act as a barrier during the therapeutic process (Baert et al. 2011) for expectation-incongruent information at the expense of information that could change the content of cognitive schemas. In other words, children and adolescents continue to interpret parental behavior as negative during family therapy, even though the therapy might have elicited positive parental changes. As interpretations strongly influence behavior (Snyder and Stukas 1999), the presence of an insecure interpretation bias might hamper children's and adolescents' progress during therapy. Interestingly, interpretation bias has been described as the most strategic stage of information processing (Beck 1964), allowing it to be targeted by clinical techniques. Our findings suggest that clinical practitioners should consider the importance of interpretation bias when planning the different stages of therapy.

To summarize, our findings propose the presence of an attachment expectation-congruent interpretation bias in early adolescence. Early adolescents who lacked trust in maternal support also reported more insecure interpretations of ambiguous maternal behavior. This association remained significant after controlling for depressive mood. These findings further confirm Bowlby's (1969) assumption that children's attachment expectations, based on previous experiences, should be reflected in their automatic processing of social information.

**Acknowledgments** This research was supported by Grant G.0934.12 and G.0774.15 of the Research Foundation Flanders (FWO), Grants OT/12/043 and CREA/12/004 from the Research Fund KU Leuven, Belgium, awarded to Guy Bosmans.

## Appendix 1

(angry example)

You are playing with your brother/sister/friend in your room and suddenly (s)he starts to cry. Your mom hears this, gets angry, and goes to your room. Why?

\_\_mom thinks I hurt him/her and is angry with me  
 \_\_mom was doing something important and is annoyed that she has to come to my room  
 \_\_mom is worried about what happened

(support seeking example)

You are playing outside and have hurt yourself badly. You are crying hard and call your mom to help, but she does not come. Why?

\_\_mom is working and cannot come to help me  
 \_\_mom did not hear me  
 \_\_mom doesn't think it's important enough to help me.

## Appendix 2

How do these ideas make you feel?

How distressed would it make you feel if the idea would be really true? Rate for each idea belonging to each situation to what extent this would make you feel distressed. You can encircle one of the numbers between 1 and 7. The more you would feel distressed the higher the number you can encircle.

---

*You are playing with your brother/sister/friend in your room and suddenly (s)he starts to cry. Your mom hears this, gets angry, and comes to your room. Why?*

Mom thinks I hurt him/her and is angry with me    1   2   3   4   5   6   7

Mom was doing something important and is annoyed that she has to come to my room    1   2   3   4   5   6   7

Mom is worried about what happened    1   2   3   4   5   6   7

---

## References

- Ainsworth, M. D. S., Blehar, M. C., Waters, E., & Wall, S. (1978). *Patterns of attachment: A psychological study of the strange situation*. Hillsdale, NJ: Erlbaum.
- Ainsworth, M. D. S. (1985). Attachments across the life span. *The Bulletin of the New York Academy of Medicine*, 61, 792–812.
- Alexander, K. W., O'Hara, K. D., Bortfeld, H. V., Anderson, S. J., Newton, E. K., & Kraft, R. H. (2010). Memory for emotional experiences in the context of attachment and social interaction style. *Cognitive Development*, 25(4), 325–338.
- Baert, S., Koster, E. H. W., & De Raedt, R. (2011). Modification of information-processing biases in emotional disorders: Clinically relevant developments in experimental psychopathology. *International Journal of Cognitive Therapy*, 4, 208–222.
- Barrett, P. M., & Holmes, J. (2001). Attachment relationships as predictors of cognitive interpretation and response bias in late adolescence. *Journal of Child and Family Studies*, 10, 51–64.
- Beck, A. T. (1964). Thinking and depression: 2. Theory and therapy. *Archives of General Psychiatry*, 10, 561–571.
- Bosmans, G., Braet, C., Koster, E., & De Raedt, R. (2009). Attachment security and attentional breadth toward the attachment figure in middle childhood. *Journal of clinical child and adolescent psychology*, 38(6), 872–882.
- Bosmans, G., & Kerns, K. A. (2015). Attachment in middle childhood: Progress and prospects. In G. Bosmans & K. A. Kerns (Eds.), *Attachment in middle childhood: Theoretical*



- advances and new directions in an emerging field, New Directions in Child and Adolescent Development*. (Vol. 148, pp. 1–14). New York: Wiley.
- Bosmans, G., Koster, E., Vandevivere, E., Braet, C., & De Raedt, R. (2013). Young adolescent's confidence in maternal support: Attentional bias moderates the link between attachment-related expectations and behavioral problems. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 37, 829–839.
- Bowlby, J. (1969). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 1. Attachment*. London: Hogarth.
- Bowlby, J. (1980). *Attachment and loss: Vol. 3. Loss: sadness and depression*. New York: Basic Books.
- Cassidy, J. (1988). Child–mother attachment and the self in six-year-olds. *Child Development*, 59, 121–134.
- Cassidy, J. (1994). Emotion regulation: Influences of attachment relationships. In N. Fox (Ed.), *The development of emotion regulation, Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development* 59 (pp. 228–249) (2–3, Serial No. 240).
- Cassidy, J. (2008). The nature of the child's ties. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (2nd ed., pp. 3–22). New York, NY: The Guilford Press.
- Dujardin, A., Bosmans, G., Braet, C., & Goossens, L. (2014). Attachment-related expectations and mother-referent memory bias in middle childhood. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 55(3), 296–302.
- Dykas, M. J., & Cassidy, J. (2011). Attachment and the processing of social information across the life span: Theory and evidence. *Psychological Bulletin*, 137(1), 19–46.
- Kerns, K. A. (2008). Attachment in middle childhood. In J. Cassidy & P. R. Shaver (Eds.), *Handbook of attachment: Theory, research, and clinical applications* (pp. 366–382). New York: Guilford Press.
- Kerns, K. A., Tomich, P. L., & Kim, P. (2006). Normative trends in children's perceptions of availability and utilization of attachment figures in middle childhood. *Social Development*, 15, 1–22.
- Kirsh, S. J., & Cassidy, J. (1997). Preschoolers' attention to and memory for attachment-relevant information. *Child Development*, 68, 1143–1153.
- Kovacs, M. (1992). *Children's depression inventory*. New York: Multi-Health Systems.
- Lynch, M., & Cicchetti, D. (1998). Trauma, mental representation, and the organization of memory for mother-referent material. *Development and Psychopathology*, 10, 739–759.
- MacLeod, C., Koster, E. H. W., & Fox, E. (2009). Whither cognitive bias modification research? Commentary on the special section articles. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 118, 89–99.
- Main, M., Kaplan, N., & Cassidy, J. (1985). Security in infancy, childhood and adulthood: A move to the level of representation. In I. Bretherton & E. Waters (Eds.), *Growing points of attachment theory and research. Monographs of the Society for Research in Child Development*, 50, (1–3, Serial No. 209).
- Miller, J. B., & Noirot, M. (1999). Attachment memories, models and information processing. *Journal of Social and Personal Relationships*, 16(2), 147–173.
- Orobio de Castro, B., Slot, N. W., Bosch, J. D., Kooops, W., & Veerman, J. W. (2003). Negative feelings exacerbate hostile attributions of intent in highly aggressive boys. *Journal of Clinical Child and Adolescent Psychology*, 32(1), 56–65.
- Ridenour, T. A., Greenberg, M. T., & Cook, E. T. (2006). Structure and validity of people in my life: A self-report measure of attachment in late childhood. *Journal of Youth and Adolescence*, 35, 1037–1053.
- Snyder, M., & Stukas, A. A. (1999). Interpersonal processes: The interplay of cognitive, motivational, and behavioral activities in social interaction. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 50, 273–303.
- Steele, H. (2015). Attachment in middle childhood: Looking back, forward and within. In G. Bosmans & K. A. Kerns (Eds.), *Attachment in middle childhood: Theoretical advances and new directions in an emerging field, New Directions in Child and Adolescent Development*. (Vol. 148, pp. 99–104). New York: Wiley.
- Suess, J. G., Grossmann, K. E., & Sroufe, L. A. (1992). Effects of infant attachment to mother and father on quality of adaptation in preschool: From dyadic to individual organization of self. *International Journal of Behavior Development*, 15, 43–65.
- Target, M., Fonagy, P., & Schmeuli-Goetz, Y. (2003). Attachment representations in school-age children: The development of the child attachment interview. *Journal of Child Psychotherapy*, 29(2), 171–186.
- Thompson, R. A., & Raikes, H. A. (2003). Toward the next quarter-century: Conceptual and methodological challenges for attachment theory. *Development and Psychopathology*, 15, 691–718.
- Timbremont, B., & Braet, C. (2002). *Children's depression inventory: Nederlandstalige versie [Children's Depression Inventory: Dutch version]*. Lisse: Swets & Zeitlinger.
- Waters, H. S., & Waters, E. (2006). The attachment working models concept: Among other things, we build script-like representations of secure base experiences. *Attachment and Human Development*, 8(3), 185–197.